	Case 2:24-cv-00173-TLN-KJN Docume	ent 2 Filed 02/09/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:24-cv-00172-TLN-KJN
12		No. 2:24-cv-00173-TLN-KJN
13		No. 2:24-cv-00174-TLN-KJN
14		No. 2:24-cv-00175-TLN-KJN
15		No. 2:24-cv-00177-TLN-KJN
16		No. 2:24-cv-00178-TLN-KJN
17		No. 2:24-cv-00180-TLN-KJN
18		No. 2:24-cv-00187-TLN-KJN
19		No. 2:24-cv-00189-TLN-KJN
20		No. 2:24-cv-00190-TLN-KJN
21		ORDER
22		
23		
24	Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the	
25	above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious	
26	litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any	
\sim 7	managed habase common matition on civil action related to Disintiff's evincinal conviction in	

27 28

proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.

1 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of 2 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the undersigned for 3 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's 4 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (*Id.*) 5 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and 6 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹ 7 Accordingly, the complaints/petitions in Case Nos. 2:24-cv-00172, 2:24-cv-00173, 2:24-8 cv-00174, 2:24-cv-00175, 2:24-cv-00177, 2:24-cv-00178, 2:24-cv-00180, 2:24-cv-00187, 2:24-9 cv-00189 and 2:24-cv-00190 are hereby DISMISSED. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close 10 these cases. No further filings will be accepted. IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 12 Dated: February 09, 2024 13 14 15 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Plaintiff erroneously names the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in the caption of the complaint/petition in Case No. 2:24-cy-00178. To the extent Plaintiff intended to file that 26 complaint/petition in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, the Court finds that the claims raised therein are related to his Alameda County criminal conviction 27 and are therefore dismissed pursuant to the modified vexatious litigant Order.

Case 2:24-cv-00173-TLN-KJN Document 2 Filed 02/09/24 Page 2 of 2

28